

February 26, 2014

Superintendent David Dunn ddunn@nortonschools.org Norton City School District 4128 Cleveland Massillon Road Norton, Ohio 44203

RE: Classroom Facilities Assistance Program-Segment One (Lapsed)

Certification of Conditional Approval

Dear Superintendent and Board Members:

On behalf of the Ohio School Facilities Commission ("Commission"), this letter serves to formally notify you that the Ohio Controlling Board approved the release of state funds for your Classroom Facilities Assistance Program- Segment One (Lapsed) project on February 25, 2014. **This letter serves as official certification of conditional approval by the State.**

Please find attached a copy of the authorization from the Ohio Controlling Board President and Commission resolution.

Your School District Board of Education <u>must accept such conditional approval through the</u> <u>attached resolution within 120 days of the date of certification</u>. This Resolution of Acceptance must be returned to the Commission within the prescribed time or the State's conditional approval will lapse and the funds reserved for your project will be released, pursuant to ORC Section 3318.05.

Upon acceptance by your School District Board of the State's conditional approval, by adopting a Resolution of Acceptance within 120 days, the Commission's certification of funds will remain in effect for 13 months from today's date.

Sincerely

Richard M. Hickman Executive Director

/jsp

pc: Stephanie Hagenbush, shagenbush@nortonschools.org

Richard Manoloff, richard.manoloff@squiresanders.com

File



SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

Norton City School District Summit County

PROJECTED ENROLLMENT: 2,533 Year: 2017-2018

LOCAL SHARE:

SCOPE OF THE PROJECT:

Segment One: Build one new high school to house grades 9 thru 12 & Career Tech; allowance to abate and demolish Cornerstone and Grill elementary schools.

STATE SHARE: \$16,354,813

TOTAL BUDGET: \$32,068,261

\$15,713,448

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD TO ENTER INTO A RESOLUTION OF ACCEPTANCE IN THE OHIO SCHOOL FACILITIES COMMISSION CLASSROOM FACILITIES ASSISTANCE PROGRAM-SEGMENT ONE (LAPSED)

WHEREAS, the Board of Educa	tion of the Norton City Schoo	ol District ("Schoo	l District"), Summit County,
Ohio, met in	session on		, 20, and adopted
the following Resolution.			
WHEREAS, the Ohio School I proceeding with a Segmented Mas conditionally approved a Scope of F	ster Facilities Plan for Classro	oom Facilities und	
WHEREAS, the Ohio Controll Commission and approved the amo			
WHEREAS, the Commission has project to this Board of Education p			
	STATE SHARE:	\$16,354,813	
	LOCAL SHARE:	\$15,713,448	
	TOTAL BUDGET:		
WHEREAS, the 120 day time licertification of conditional approval NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT R. Summit County, Ohio that the cond Segment One project be hereby access	l. ESOLVED by the Board of litional approval as granted b	Education of the N by the Commission	<i>Jorton City School District,</i> for the Classroom Facilities–
Upon the roll call on the passage of	the Resolution, the vote was	as follows:	
	, President		, Vice President
The foregoing is a true and corre	ect excerpt from the minutes _, of the Board of Education		•
County, Ohio showing the passage	of the resolution set forth.		
			20
Treasurer	Date		<u></u>

CAPITAL REQUEST

STATE OF OHIO CONTROLLING BOARD 30 East Broad Street, 34th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-3457 (614) 466-5721 FAX:(614) 466-3813 Controlling Board No. **SFC0100464**

Status: Approved Meeting Date: 2/25/2014

GENERAL INFORMATIO	N	
Agency School Facilities	Real Estate Acquisition	Fiscal Year(s)
Commission	Release of Capital Funds (R.C.Sec. 127.14G)	2014
Division/Institution Ohio Facilities Construction Commission	Transfer of Capital Funds (R.C.Sec. 127.14G and/or Sec. 127.15)	Bill No.
	Higher Education Authorization Request (Sec. 3333.071)	
Construction Continues Ion	Other Statutory Authority/Bill Section:	H.B. 482
	© Capital Request	Eligible for OBM
Eligible for Local	Release of Capital Funds (R.C.Sec. 127.14G)	Director Approval?
Administration? Yes	Transfer of Capital Funds (R.C.Sec. 127.14G and/or Sec. 127.15)	No
165	Waiver of Competitive Selection (R.C.Sec. 127.16B)	
	No Competitive Opportunity	
	Agency Released Competitive Opportunity	
	Agency Released Competitive Opportunity (R.C.Sec. 127.162)	
	Release Percent for Arts (R.C.Sec. 3379.10)	
	C Other:	

VENI	OOR INFORMAT	ION				
For	Vendor ID	FY	Amount	Name	Address	County
					,	

TRANS	FER I	NFOF	RMATION					
From	То	FY	Fund Group	Fund Code	Appropriation Line Item	Appropriation Line Item Name	Transfer Amount	Prev Rel Amount

FUNDING INFO	RMATION			
Fund Group	Fund Code	Appropriation Line Item	Appropriation Line Item Name	Total Amount
	7032	C23002	SCHOOL BDG PROGRAM ASSISTANCE	\$2,202,626.00

SIGNATURES	
David Chovan	02/25/2014
Agency Director or Authorized Agent	On The Date Of
02/11/2014	Randy Cole
Date	Controlling Board President/OBM Director
AGENCY CONTACT	

Name: Sara Freetage Title: Business Manager

Phone: (614) 995 - 4552 Fax: () - E-Mail: Sara.Freetage@ofcc.ohio.gov

REQUIRED EXPLANATION OF REQUEST

The School Facilities Commission respectfully requests Controlling Board approval for previously released capital funds in the amount of \$2,202,626.00 from fund 7032, ALI C23002 (School Building Program Assistance), in FY14, for newly funded school district construction projects.

The Ohio Facilities Construction Commission (OFCC) respectfully requests Controlling Board approval per 3318.04 ORC for master facility plans for two school district construction projects in OFCC programs with a total project cost of \$32,914,746.00 and the state share of \$16,921,958.00.

The description of how the funds will be used is as follows:

RELEASE OF FUNDS

Overall, there are 2 districts receiving funding (see attached chart):

- 1 lapsed district served by the Classroom Facilities Assistance Program (CFAP)
- 1 1990 Lookback district (CFAP)

The amount for each project is limited to the amount anticipated to be spent during the fiscal year. Depending upon the timing of design and construction, the OFCC may request the release of additional funds from the Controlling Board later in the fiscal year, or in future fiscal years. Releases in future years may require appropriations in the next capital biennium. The attached list shows the current release amount requested for each of the districts.

APPROVAL OF PROJECTS

The attached list shows the state and local shares and other information on each of the two projects which require plan approval. These school districts require approval by the Controlling Board upon conditional approval by the School Facilities Commission, pursuant to ORC 3318.04, in order to place their bond issues on the ballot for their local share of the project cost, or to seek alternative funding.

This fiscal year's state share of each project will be obligated to the school district for 13 months from the date of Controlling Board approval. If after the 13 months the local share has not been obtained, the state's funding obligation to the district will expire and the funds may be allocated to other districts eligible for school building assistance funds.

The Ohio School Facilities Commission passed resolutions approving the two new projects on January 23, 2014.

Attachments Co	ontrolling Board Request No.: SFC0100464
Attachment Type	Attachment Description
DAS planning/design/engineering/construction management	Resolution

RESOLUTION 14-03

THE OHIO SCHOOL FACILITIES COMMISSION JANUARY 23, 2014

PROVIDING CONDITIONAL APPROVAL AND FUNDING FOR CLASSROOM FACILITIES ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (LAPSE OF ONE-YEAR CERTIFICATION) DISTRICT PROJECT

WHEREAS, the 122nd Ohio General Assembly established the Ohio School Facilities Commission (Commission) under Chapter 3318 of the Ohio Revised Code (ORC) to engage in and perform any function necessary to carry out the purposes of the Classroom Facilities Assistance Program; and

WHEREAS, H.B. 487 of the 129th Ohio General Assembly amended ORC Chapter 3318 to recognize the Commission as an independent agency within the Ohio Facilities Construction Commission; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with ORC Chapter 3318, the Commission is granted authority to administer the Classroom Facilities Assistance Program and distribute funds appropriated by the General Assembly for construction of new school buildings, reconstruction and renovations of existing school buildings; and

WHEREAS, ORC Section 3318.04, provides the Commission shall make a determination of approval of the Master Facilities Plan of a Classroom Facilities Assistance Program Project; and

WHEREAS, the below listed School District projects were previously conditionally approved by the Commission pursuant to ORC Section 3318.04; however, such conditional approval lapsed under ORC Section 3318.05, and the school district having now obtained its local share of the funding requests the Commission to renew its notice of conditional approval of funding.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

After the lapse of the one-year certification, the school district has satisfied their local share and
maintenance fund requirement for participation in the Classroom Facilities Assistance Program. The
Commission conditionally approves the project and funding amounts set forth below and is renewed
as adjusted for Norton City School District.

School District	County	State Share	Local Share	Total Budget
Norton CSD	Summit	\$16,354,813	\$15,713,448	\$32,068,261

After the lapse of the one-year certification, the below listed school district has satisfied its local share
for participation in the 1990 Lookback Classroom Facilities Assistance Program pursuant to ORC
Section 3318.034(c). The Commission conditionally approves the project and funding amount set
forth below and is renewed as adjusted for the Federal Hocking Local School District.

School District	County	State Share	Local Share	Total Budget
Federal Hocking LSD	Athens	\$567,145	\$279,340	\$846,485

- 3. The Commission conditionally approves the funding amount listed above for the project's scope as presented to the Commission on January 23, 2014. This Resolution directs and authorizes the Executive Director to seek approval of the Ohio Controlling Board.
- 4. The amount of state appropriations to be encumbered for these projects in each fiscal biennium shall be determined based on the projects' estimated construction schedule for that biennium.

5. In each fiscal biennium subsequent to the first biennium in which state appropriations are encumbered for these projects, these projects have priority for state funds over projects for which initial state funding is sought.

In witness thereof, the undersigned certifies the foregoing Resolution was duly adopted at an open meeting held on January 23, 2014 by the members of the Ohio School Facilities Commission.

Timothy S. Keen, Chair

		_		
	(C23010 (VFAP)	- \$	- \$	- \$
	C23005 (ENP)	- \$	- \$	- \$
	C23002 (CFAP) C23005 (ENP)	\$ 567,145	\$ 1,635,481	\$ 2,202,626 \$
Release	Amount	\$ 567,145 \$	32,068,261 \$ 1,635,481 \$	32,914,746 \$ 2,202,626 \$
	Total	\$ 846,485 \$		32,914,746
	Local	\$ 279,340	\$ 15,713,448 \$	\$ 16,921,958 \$ 15,992,788 \$
	State	\$ 567,145 \$	\$ 16,354,813 \$	\$ 16,921,958
	Seg	1	1	
	Prog	CFAP	CFAP	
	COUNTY	Athens	Summit	
	SCHOOL DISTRICT	Federal Hocking	Norton City	

Average State Share

51.4%

LISTING OF DISTRICT AND STATE SHARES AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION

FY'14 District Information (January, 2014)

Classroom Facilities Assistance Program (Lapsed)

School District Name	County	State Share	Local Share		Total
Norton City SD	Summit	\$16,354,813	\$15,713,448		\$32,068,261
Scope of Project:				Abandon/Demolish/Other Use:	
Segment One: Build one new high school to house grades 9 thru 12 & Career	nool to house grades 9 thru 12 &	Career Tech.		Allowance to abate and demolish Cornerstone and Grill elementary schools.	schools.
Approved for 2/3rds (Renovation):				Approved for 350:	
None				None	
Approved for 2/3rds (Abandon/Demo):	:(01			Approved for Excess Square Footage:	
None				None	
Approved for 1/3rd:				LEED Waivers:	
None				None	
TOTALS	Number of Projects Offered		State Contribution	Local Contribution Total Dollars	
	_	\$1	\$16,354,813	\$15,713,448	

School Facilities Commission

District Segment Overview

delivery of the school district as it continues the thru 12 student population. The Segment One is practicable in the overall construction sequence development of new school facilities for the PK as all of the new construction for 9 thru 12 & The proposed Segment One meets statutory requirements and furthers the educational Career Tech will be completed under this Rationale Abate/Demo Cornerstone ES. New HS 9 thru 12 & CT. Abate/Demo Grill ES. Segment One Scope Abate/Demo Cornerstone ES. Abate/Demo Norton MS. Abate/Demo Norton HS. Abate/Demo Norton PS. New HS 9 thru 12 & CT. Abate/Demo Grill ES. New ES PK thru 5. Master Plan Scope New MS 6 thru 8. Summit County Norton District



MEMORANDUM TO: Ohio School Facilities Commission Members

FROM:

Richard M. Hickman, Executive Director

DATE:

January 23, 2014

SUBJECT:

Segmenting Recommendation

Norton City School District (Summit) - Segment One

The Ohio School Facilities Commission staff has reviewed the segmenting proposal for Norton City School District, Summit County with the Policy on Segmenting Projects and recommends the proposal for approval by the Commission.

The proposed Segment One includes the following:

Build one new high school to house grades 9 thru 12 & Career Tech; allowance to abate and demolish Cornerstone and Grill elementary schools.

The complete Master Facility Plan for the project includes the following:

Build one new elementary school to house grades PK thru 5; one new middle school to house grades 6 thru 8 and one new high school to house grades 9 thru 12 & Career Tech; allowance to abate and demolish Norton Primary School, Cornerstone and Grill elementary schools, Norton Middle School and Norton High School.

The proposed Segment One meets statutory requirements and furthers the educational delivery of the school district as it continues the development of new school facilities for the PK thru 12 student population. The Segment One is practicable in the overall construction sequence as all of the new construction for 9 thru 12 & Career Tech will be completed under this segment.

Based on the rationale above, the Ohio School Facilities Commission staff has reviewed the segmenting proposal for Norton City School District, Summit County and recommends the proposal for approval by the Commission.



NORTON CITY SCHOOLS

4128 CLEVELAND-MASSILLON RD. NORTON, OHIO 44203-5697 PHONE 330-825-0863 FAX 330-825-0929 www.nortonschools.org

January 26, 2010

ADMINISTRATION

Superintendent
Treasurer
Business Manager
Dir. Curriculum
.Gifted Coordinator
. EMIS Coordinator
.Dir. Pupil Services
Dir. Technology

BOARD OF EDUCATION

Elisa Worthington	President
Jim Bennett	Vice President
Diane Farmer	Member
Laura Leonti	Member
Cindy Webel	Member

SCHOOLS

Norton High	
(Mr. Gerstenmaier)	825-7300
Norton Middle/Intermediate	
(Mrs. Gerber)	825-5607
Cornerstone Elementary	
(Mrs.Gulley)	825-3828
Norton Primary	
(Mr. Morris)	825-5133
Grill Elementary	
(Mr. Plaster)	825-2677

Bill Prenosil Planning Director Ohio School Facilities Commission 1410 Highland Road, Suite 1 Macedonia, OH 44056

RE: Norton City School District (Summit) CFAP

Segmenting Request Letter

Dear Mr. Prenosil:

Please accept this letter as Norton City School District's (NCSD) request to allow a segmented plan under the CFAP offer of funding for July 2009. The scope of the proposed segment is one (1) New High School to house 750 9-12 Students and 127 Career Tech Students.

The proposed segment meets all the statutory requirements of HB 562 and in compliance with the Commission's Project Segmenting Policy. The proposed segment is consistent with the overall master facilities plan developed for NCSD and will allow us to further our educational delivery by way of addressing our most immediate student needs. Since the proposed plan addresses the needs of our entire 9-12 student population (leaving only the Pk-8 student population for a later segment) both state and local resources have been optimized, inefficiencies avoided and is a practical solution for sequencing and the overall construction schedule.

Thanks you for your consideration on our request.

and Dun

Please contact me should you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

David Dunn

Superintendent

cc: Jeffrey S. Tuckerman -- Hammond Construction

Master Plan Name Norton City SD (Summit) - CFAP - Segment 1 - OSFC 01-23-14 CB 02-24-14

Program CFAP 2013 1 (Active)

Rank 297

School District Norton City School District

School District IRN 44552

Summit County County

Cost Region 8 (New Construction Cost Factor: 104.79%)

Cost Set 2013 (for everything)

Bracketing Set 2013 Educational PlannerFutureThink

Projected Enrollment (10 Yr)

Grade	2017–2018			nfigura	
PK	16	Grades	TotalF	PlacedR	emaining
K	156	PK-12	2373	2431	-58
1	159	PK-5	1067	1067	O
2	165	6-8	647	647	O
3	173	9-12	659	717	-58
4	188	PK-8	1714	1714	O
5	210	6-12	1306	1364	-58
6	197	CT	160	102	58
7	218				
8	232				
9	213				
10	213				
11	120				
12	113				
Ungraded	0				
Special Education	0				
CT Offsite	102				
CT Low Bay Comprehensive	36				
CT High Bay Comprehensive	22				
CT Low Bay Onsite	0				
CT High Bay Onsite	0				
Total	2533				

Build one (1) New High School to house grades 9-12 and Career Tech..

-Allowance to abate and demolish Cornerstone Elementary and Grill Elementary.

- <u>Master Planner Commentary:</u>
 -Master plan is based on 2013 OSDM Cost Set and Bracketing.
- -Master plan utilizes district approved enrollment projections dated April 16, 2013 (2017-18 projected enrollment year).
- -Enhanced environmental studies were completed for all buildings in October 2008.
- -The project budget for new buildings shown on this plan anticipates attaining the USGBC LEED For Schools (U.S. Green Building Council, Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) Silver Certification (with a preference for attaining points in the Energy and Atmosphere Categories).
- -There is one (1) Site Safety Access Allowances with this plan. The use of these allowances require a ODOT Traffic Impact Study. See specific allowance for details.
- -Students will self swing during construction; therefore, there is no swing space allowance required or included in this plan.

Career Tech enrollment calculation is as follows; 36 Low Bay Comprehensive Students + 22 High Bay Comprehensive Students + 102 Low Bay Offsite Students (academic space only) = 160 Total CT Core Space Students.

- -The number of Low Bay Comprehensive Students projected do not meet the 50:1 requirement to qualify for CT program space; therefore those students have been included in the 9-12 student population and receive the full sf/student allocation.
- -The number of High Bay Comprehensive Students projected do not meet the 30:1 requirement to qualify for CT program space; therefore those students have been included in the 9-12 student population and receive the full sf/student allocation.

Building	Allowance
Now 0-12	Site Access Safety Allowance \$300,000,00

Making Missey Planering Control actions Making Missey Planering Control actions Missey Mis		Cornerstone Elementary	Grill Elementary School	New 9-12
Company Comp	Building	Master Planning Considerations	Master Planning Considerations	
Coal Set	Program			
Name	Cost Set		(CFAP) [2013]	
Elementary				
Section Sect				High
Current Enrollment 283	Acres			
Additions to Demolah	Grades Housed			
14.454. in 14.456. in 14.556. in 14.	Current Enrollment	283	283	
Sign	Additions to Demolish	1915 Original Building	1929 Original Building	
B8% 22.256 ft 1906 190				
Sign				
45% 1,587 ret 81% 5,320 ret				
Display Administration Clearators Addition 1971 No. 14,267 No. 102 No.				
63% 1,971 ft 72% 14,267 ft 72% 14,267 ft 72% 14,267 ft 72% 14,267 ft 72%				
9-12, CT Offsite, CT Low Bay Comprehensive, CT High Bay Proposed Forcilment			-	
Proposed		63% 1,971 114	12% 14,267 112	
Proposed	Grades Housed -			9-12 CT Offsite CT Low Bay Comprehensive CT High Bay
Triple T	Proposed			
Abate Demote Bordefine Bordefine Bordefine Existing IF 40,768 27,124	Projected Enrollment			
DEFF Rating	CT Projected Enrollment			102
Existing IP	Scope of Work			Build New
Second Company Second	CEFPI Rating			
Cost to Replace		-,		
Cost to Renovate \$7,237,687.06 \$6,227,306,79 \$0.00 \$	Cost/ft² (DM)			
Reprogramming				\$0.00
Renovate-Replace 71% 92% 92% Right Replacement Right Ratio No No No No No No No				#0.00
Right Ratio No				\$0.00
Right Ratio Addition Required No		/1%	92%	
Addition Required No				
Addition ft		No	No	No
Proposed Enrollment Students Strought	Addition Required			
Elementary (PK-5)	Proposed Enrollment			
Middle (G-B)		·	·	
High (9-12)				
Career Fechnical Core X				
Space	Career Technical Core			
## Existing	Space			, and the second
Deersized If	Total ft ² Required			128,844.39
Less Oversized ft 2	ft ² Existing	40,768	27,124	
CT ff 2 Not Programmed Less CT ff 2				
CT ff* Not Programmed Less CT ff* 40,768 27,124 128,844 Cost per ff* See below See bel		40,768	27,124	
Less CT ft				
Addition ft Addition ft See below		40.700	07.404	
Cost per ft2 See below S				
Cost of Addition Cost				
Cost of Additions		see below	See below	See below
Cost Of New SF	Total Addition Cost	Cost of Additions	Cost of Additions	Cost to Rebuild
Selementary (PK-5) X	Cost Of New SF			
Middle (6-8) X				
High (9-12)	Middle (6-8)			
Career Technical Program Space	High (9-12)			
CT New ft² CT Total ft² CT Program Total \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$128,844 Total to Rebuild \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$30,667,541.71 Total to Rebuild All Buildings Cost to Reno & Reprogram Total Addition Cost Total Career Technical \$0.00				
CT Total ft2	CT Existing ft ²			
Substitute	CT New ft ²			
Total Proposed ft2				
Total to Rebuild		\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total to Rebuild All Buildings Summer Su				
Solution			\$0.00	\$30,667,541.71
Reprogram Total Addition Cost Su.00 Su		ys 		* 0.00
Total Addition Cost \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Project Cost \$0.00 \$0.00 \$30,667,541.71 Asbestos Abatement \$378,819.38 \$416,385.67 \$0.00 Demolition \$183,456.00 \$122,058.00 \$0.00 Specific Allowance \$0.00 \$0.00 \$300,000.00 Total Building Cost \$562,275.38 \$538,443.67 \$30,967,541.71 Page Subtotal \$32,068,260.76 \$30.00 General Allowance \$0.00 \$0.00 Project Agreement LFI \$0.00 Co-Funded Project \$32,068,260.76				\$0.00
Total Career Technical \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Project Cost \$0.00 \$0.00 \$30,667,541.71 Asbestos Abatement \$378,819.38 \$416,385.67 \$0.00 Demolition \$183,456.00 \$122,058.00 \$0.00 Specific Allowance \$0.00 \$0.00 \$300,000.00 Total Building Cost \$562,275.38 \$538,443.67 \$30,967,541.71 Page Subtotal \$32,068,260.76 \$30.00 Project Agreement LFI \$0.00 \$0.00 Co-Funded Project \$32,068,260.76 \$32,068,260.76				
Project Cost \$0.00 \$0.00 \$30,667,541.71 Asbestos Abatement \$378,819.38 \$416,385.67 \$0.00 Demolition \$183,456.00 \$122,058.00 \$0.00 Specific Allowance \$0.00 \$0.00 \$300,000.00 Total Building Cost \$562,275.38 \$538,443.67 \$30,967,541.71 Page Subtotal \$32,068,260.76 \$0.00 General Allowance \$0.00 \$0.00 Project Agreement LFI \$0.00 Co-Funded Project \$32,068,260.76		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Asbestos Abatement \$378,819.38 \$416,385.67 \$0.00 Demolition \$183,456.00 \$122,058.00 \$0.00 Specific Allowance \$0.00 \$0.00 \$300,000.00 Total Building Cost \$562,275.38 \$538,443.67 \$30,967,541.71 Page Subtotal \$32,068,260.76 \$0.00 General Allowance \$0.00 \$0.00 Project Agreement LFI \$0.00 Co-Funded Project \$32,068,260.76				\$30.667 541 71
Demolition \$183,456.00 \$122,058.00 \$0.00 Specific Allowance \$0.00 \$0.00 \$300,000.00 Total Building Cost \$562,275.38 \$538,443.67 \$30,967,541.71 Page Subtotal \$32,068,260.76 \$32,068,260.76 General Allowance \$0.00 Project Agreement LFI \$0.00 Co-Funded Project \$32,068,260.76	Asbestos Abatement			
Specific Allowance \$0.00 \$0.00 \$300,000.00 Total Building Cost \$562,275.38 \$538,443.67 \$30,967,541.71 Page Subtotal \$32,068,260.76 \$32,068,260.76 General Allowance \$0.00 Project Agreement LFI \$0.00 Co-Funded Project \$32,068,260.76	Demolition			
Total Building Cost \$562,275.38 \$538,443.67 \$30,967,541.71 Page Subtotal \$32,068,260.76 \$30,00 General Allowance \$0.00 Project Agreement LFI \$0.00 Co-Funded Project \$32,068,260.76	Specific Allowance			
Page Subtotal \$32,068,260.76 General Allowance \$0.00 Project Agreement LFI \$0.00 Co-Funded Project \$32,068,260.76	Total Building Cost			
General Allowance \$0.00 Project Agreement LFI \$0.00 Co-Funded Project \$32,068,260.76	Page Subtotal			
Co-Funded Project \$32,068,260.76	General Allowance		\$0.00	
	Project Agreement LFI			
Total Project Cost \$32,068,260.76	Co-Funded Project			
	Total Project Cost		\$32,068,260.70	6

Building Summary - Cornerstone Elementary (28001)

District: Norton City SD Name: Cornerstone Elementary	age Rating Category — Borderline Borderline Satisfactory Satisfactory Borderline — — Borderline
Address: 4138 S Cleveland-Massillon Rd Norton, OH 44203 BIdg. IRN: 28001 Date Prepared: 2008-08-05 By: Tony Schorr Date Revised: 2013-04-23 By: Jeff Tuckerman	Borderline Borderline Satisfactory Satisfactory Borderline Borderline
Norton,OH 44203 Bldg. IRN: 28001 Date Prepared: 2008-08-05 By: Tony Schorr British B	Borderline Borderline Satisfactory Satisfactory Borderline Borderline
Date Revised: 2013-04-23 By: Jeff Tuckerman	Borderline Borderline Satisfactory Satisfactory Borderline Borderline
Current Grades K-4 Acreage: 25.00 CEFPI Appraisal Summary	Borderline Borderline Satisfactory Satisfactory Borderline Borderline
Proposed Grades	Borderline Borderline Satisfactory Satisfactory Borderline Borderline
Current Enrollment 283 Classrooms: 16 Section Points Possible Points Earned Percent Projected Enrollment N/A Square Feet Cover Sheet —	Borderline Borderline Satisfactory Satisfactory Borderline Borderline
Cover Sheet	Borderline Borderline Satisfactory Satisfactory Borderline Borderline
Addition	Borderline Satisfactory Satisfactory Borderline Borderline —
Floors Square Feet 2.0 Structural and Mechanical Features 200 130 65% 3.0 Plant Maintainability 100 77 77%	Borderline Satisfactory Satisfactory Borderline Borderline —
Driginal Building	Satisfactory Satisfactory Borderline Borderline —
Classroom Wing	Satisfactory Borderline Borderline —
Common Series Common Serie	Borderline Borderline —
Mezzanine 6.0 Environment for Education 200 113 57% Administration Classroom Addition 1959 1 1 1,971 LEED Observations — <td>Borderline — —</td>	Borderline — —
Administration 1959 1	_ _
Classroom Addition Total 40,768 Total 40,768 Total 40,768 Total 1000 657 66% C=Under Contract C=Under Contract Existing Square Feet Cost per Sq. Ft. Renovation Cost Factor FACILITY ASSESSMENT Cost Set: 2013 Rating Rating Commentary ———————————————————————————————————	— Borderline
Total 40,768 *HA = Handicapped Access *Rating =1 Satisfactory =2 Needs Repair =3 Needs Replacement *Const P/S = Present/Scheduled Construction FACILITY ASSESSMENT Cost Set: 2013 Rating Assessment C Total 1000 657 66% C=Under Contract Existing Square Feet Cost per Sq. Ft. Renovation Cost Factor Cost to Renovate (Cost Factor applied)	Borderline
*Rating =1 Satisfactory =2 Needs Repair =3 Needs Replacement *Const P/S = Present/Scheduled Construction FACILITY ASSESSMENT Cost Set: 2013 Rating Assessment C	
=2 Needs Repair =3 Needs Replacement *Const P/S = Present/Scheduled Construction FACILITY ASSESSMENT Cost Set: 2013 Rating Existing Square Feet Cost per Sq. Ft. Renovation Cost Factor Cost to Renovate (Cost Factor applied)	
=3 Needs Replacement *Const P/S = Present/Scheduled Construction FACILITY ASSESSMENT Cost Set: 2013 Rating Assessment Cost factor Assessment Cost Renovate (Cost Factor applied) Existing Square Feet Cost per Sq. Ft. Renovation Cost Factor Cost to Renovate (Cost Factor applied)	
*Const P/S = Present/Scheduled Construction FACILITY ASSESSMENT Cost Set: 2013 Rating Assessment Cost Present/Scheduled Construction Cost per Sq. Ft. Renovation Cost Factor Cost to Renovate (Cost Factor applied)	
FACILITY ASSESSMENT Cost Set: 2013 Rating Renovation Cost Factor Cost to Renovate (Cost Factor applied)	\$0.00
Cost Set: 2013 Rating Assessment C Cost to Renovate (Cost Factor applied)	104.79%
	\$0.00
A. Heating System 3 \$1,391,004.16 - Reprogramming Cost	\$0.00
B. Roofing 3 \$122,787.50 - Cost to Renovate w/ Reprogramming	\$0.00
C. Ventilation / Air 1 \$0.00 - Cost to Replace	\$0.00
Conditioning Renovate/Replace	N/A
D. Electrical Systems 3 \$661,664.64 - [These calculations are for the case where none of the Building's Additions are slated for den	
LE Fluitibility and Lixtures 3 \$201,000.00 30	Neriovale/Nepiace ralio,
F. Windows	
G. Structure: Foundation 1 \$0.00 -	
H. Structure: Walls and 2 \$90,221.00 - Chimneys	
I. Structure: Floors and 1 \$0.00 - Roofs	
☐ J. General Finishes 3 \$761,427.00 -	
L. Security Systems 3 \$116,188.80 -	
M. Emergency/Egress 3 \$40,768.00 - Lighting	
M. Fire Alarm 3 \$61,152.00 -	
P. Site Condition 3 \$229,145.20 -	
☐ Q. Sewage System 1 \$0.00 -	
R. Water Supply 1 \$0.00 -	
S. Exterior Doors 3 \$12,000.00 -	
T. Hazardous Material 3 \$300,526.80 -	
U. Life Safety 3 \$470,457.60 -	
V. Loose Furnishings 3 \$122,304.00 -	
M. Technology 3 \$516,273.78 -	
- X. Construction Contingency - \$1,356,067.83 - / Non-Construction Cost	
Total \$6,906,829.91	

Addition	Auditorium Fixed Seating	Corridors	Agricultural Education Lab	Primary Gymnasium	Media Center	Vocational Space	Student Dining	Kitchen	Natatorium	Indoor Tracks	Adult Education	Board Offices	Outside Agencies	Auxiliary Gymnasium
Original Building (1915)		2396												
Classroom Wing (1935)		3564		4550	702		867	856						
Gymnasium Mezzanine (1935)	1597													
Administration Classroom Addition (1959)		320												
Total	1,597	6,280	0	4,550	702	0	867	856	0	0	0	0	0	0
Master Planning Co	7		-	,		1-	1		1-	1-	1-	<u> </u>		1-

Building Summary - Grill Elementary School (14662)

Name: Grill Elementary School Address: 6125 Kungle Rd Contact: Brady Sackett Phone: 30-825-2677 Date Prepared: 2008-08-05 By: Tony Schorr Date Prepared: 2008-08-05 By: Jeff Tuckerman Jeff Tuck	District. Norton Oity	SD.				County: Summit Area: Northe	theastern Ohio (8	3)					
Phone 330-825-2677 Date Prepared: 2008-09-05 By: Tony Schorr Date Prepared: 2008-09-05 By: Jeff Tuckerman J			hool				ineastern Onio (o))					
Date Prepared: 2008-08-05 By: Tony Schorr Date Number Date Prepared: 2008-08-05 By: Tony Schorr Date Number Da		-	11001			,							
Bidg. RN: 14662 Survey First Survey Su	· ·						v Schorr						
Proposed Grades							•						
Current Enrollment	Current Grades	PK-4	Acreage:		5.00	CEFPI Appraisal Summary							
Cover Sheet	Proposed Grades	N/A	Teaching	Stations:	19								
Addition	Current Enrollment	283	Classroo	ms:	17	Section Poir	oints Possible	Points Earned	Percentage	Rating Category			
Continued 1929 2 2 2 6,928 3.0 Plant Maintainability 100 67 67% 800 100	Projected Enrollment	N/A					_	_	_	_			
Driginal Building 1929 2 2 6.928 3.0 Plant Maintainability 100 67 67% Born Original Building 1929 2 1 609 4.0 Building Safety and Security 200 114 72% Satist Symnasium Balcony 1966 2 1 5.320 5.0 Educational Adequacy 200 112 56% Born Administration/ Classroom Addition 1966 2 1 4.267 Addition 1965 2 14.267 Addition 1965 2 1	Addition	Date F								Satisfactory			
Criginal Building 1929 2 1 609 6.0 Building Safety and Security 200 144 72% Satisf Sommassium Balcony 1960 2 1 5.320 6.0 Environment for Education 200 114 57% Bon	0									Borderline			
Symnasium Balcony		-	_			•				Borderline			
Administration/ Classroom Addition		1929 2	2 1		609					Satisfactory			
Classroom Wing 1965 2 2 14,267		1956 2) 1		5 320					Borderline			
Classroom Wing		1000			0,020		200	114	57%	Borderline			
Total	Classroom Wing	1965 2	2 2		14,267		_	_	_	_			
"HA = Handicapped Access "Rating =1 Satisfactory =2 Needs Repair =3 Needs Replacement "Const P/S = Present/Scheduled Construction FACILITY ASSESSMENT Cost Set: 2013 Rating Assessment Cost to Renovate (Cost Factor applied) A. Heating System 3 \$925,470.88 Cost to Renovate (Cost Factor applied) B. Roofing 3 \$51,387.50 Cost to Renovate w/ Reprogramming Cost Conditioning 3 \$51,387.50 Cost to Renovate w/ Reprogramming D. Electrical Systems 3 \$440,222.52 (Electrical Systems 3 \$189,902.50 (Electrical Systems 3 \$189,902.50 (Electrical Systems 3 \$124,535.10 (Electrical Systems 3 \$12	Addition						1000	— 63F	<u> </u>	— Dorderline			
*Rating = Ratioscapped Access Satisfactory =2 Needs Repair =3 Needs Replacement *Const P/S Present/Scheduled Construction Tourish Cost Set: 2013 Rating Assessment Cost to Renovate (Cost Factor applied) Cost to Renovate (Cost to Renovate w/ Reprogramming Cost Renovate w/ Reprogramming Cost Cost to Replace Cost to Renovate w/ Reprogramming Cost Cost to Replace Co					27,124		1000	635	04%	Borderline			
Existing Square Feet Cost per Sq. Ft. Renovation Cost Factor applied				SS		C=Officer Contract							
Solution			,			Existing Square Feet							
**Const P/S Present/Scheduled Construction FACILITY ASSESSMENT Cost to Renovate (Cost Factor applied) Cost Set: 2013 Rating Assessment Cost to Renovate (Cost Factor applied) A. Heating System 3 \$925,470.88 Cost to Renovate w/ Reprogramming Cost Cost to Renovate w/ Reprogramming Cost to Replace w/ Reprogramming Cost to Replace w/ Reprogramming Cost to Replace w/ Reprogramming Cost to Renovate w/ Replace w/ Reprogramming Cost to Reposition w/ Reprogramming Cost to Reposition w/ Reprogramming					4					\$0.00			
FACILITY ASSESSMENT Cost Set: 2013 Rating Assessment C A. Heating System 3 \$925,470.88 - Cost to Renovate (Cost Factor applied) Reprogramming Cost Cost to Renovate w/ Reprogramming Cost to Renovate w/ Reprogram					4					104.79%			
Cost Set: 2013 Rating Assessment C Reprogramming Cost A. Heating System 3 \$925,470.88 - Cost to Renovate w/ Reprogramming B. Roofing 3 \$51,387.50 - Cost to Replace C. Ventilation / Air 1 \$0.00 - Renovate/Replace Conditioning 5 Renovate/Replace These calculations are for the case where none of the Building's Additions are slated for demolition. If the Master Plan will very probably show a different Renovate/Replace which is representative of the Building without the demolished additions.] F. Windows 3 \$124,535.10 - Windows			cheduled		_					\$0.00			
A. Heating System 3 \$925,470.88 - Cost to Renovate w/ Reprogramming Cost to Replace Conditioning D. Electrical Systems 3 \$440,222.52 - F. Windows 3 \$1389,902.50 - F. Windows 3 \$124,535.10 - F. Windows 1 Structure: Foundation 3 \$122,785.00 - Chimneys J. General Finishes 3 \$479,812.00 - Cost to Renovate w/ Reprogramming Renovate/Replace (These calculations are for the case where none of the Building's Additions are slated for demolition. If the Master Suggests partial demolition of this Building, the Master Plan will very probably show a different Renovate/Replace Which is representative of the Building without the demolished additions.]			Pating							\$0.00			
B. Roofing 3 \$51,387.50 - Cost to Replace Conditioning 2 Renovate/Replace [These calculations are for the case where none of the Building's Additions are slated for demolition. If the Master Conditioning 3 \$440,222.52 - Renovate/Replace These calculations are for the case where none of the Building's Additions are slated for demolition. If the Master Suggests partial demolition of this Building, the Master Plan will very probably show a different Renovate/Replace Which is representative of the Building without the demolished additions.] B. Roofing 3 \$51,387.50 - Renovate/Replace These calculations are for the case where none of the Building's Additions are slated for demolition. If the Master Plum bing and Fixtures 3 \$189,902.50 - Which is representative of the Building without the demolished additions.] B. Roofing 5 Renovate/Replace These calculations are for the case where none of the Building's Additions are slated for demolition. If the Master Plum bing and Fixtures 3 \$189,902.50 - Which is representative of the Building without the demolished additions.] B. Roofing 6 Renovate/Replace These calculations are for the case where none of the Building's Additions are slated for demolition. If the Master These calculations are for the case where none of the Building's Additions are slated for demolition. If the Master These calculations are for the case where none of the Building's Additions are slated for demolition. If the Master These calculations are for the case where none of the Building's Additions are slated for demolition. If the Master These calculations are for the case where none of the Building's Additions are slated for demolition. If the Master These calculations are for the case where none of the Building's Additions are slated for demolition. If the Master These calculations are for the case where none of the Building's Additions are slated for demolition. If the Master These calculations are for the Case where none of the Building's Additions are slated for demolition of this Building the Mas				 	_					\$0.00			
C. Ventilation / Air Conditioning D. Electrical Systems State of the case where none of the Building's Additions are slated for demolition. If the Master Plan will very probably show a different Renovate/Replace suggests partial demolition of this Building, the Master Plan will very probably show a different Renovate/Replace which is representative of the Building without the demolished additions.] F. Windows State of the Structure: Foundation The case where none of the Building's Additions are slated for demolition. If the Master Plan will very probably show a different Renovate/Replace which is representative of the Building without the demolished additions.]				-						\$0.00			
Conditioning Conditions are slated for demolition. If the Master Plan will very probably show a different Renovate/Replace which is representative of the Building without the demolished additions.] Conditioning Condition	•												
□ D. Electrical Systems 3 \$440,222.52 - suggests partial demolition of this Building, the Master Plan will very probably show a different Renovate/Replace which is representative of the Building without the demolished additions.] □ F. Windows 3 \$124,535.10 - Which is representative of the Building without the demolished additions.] □ G. Structure: Foundation 3 \$75,000.00 - Chimneys □ I. Structure: Walls and Chimneys 2 \$18,620.00 - Roofs □ J. General Finishes 3 \$449,812.00 - Chimneys 3 \$449,812.00 - Chimneys 4 \$18,620.00 - Chimneys 5 \$18,620.00 - Chimneys 5 \$18,620.00 - Chimneys 6 \$18,620.00 - C							of the Building's A	Additions are slate	ed for demolitio	n. If the Master Plan			
F. Windows 3 \$124,535.10 - G. Structure: Foundation 3 \$75,000.00 - H. Structure: Walls and Chimneys 2 \$122,785.00 - Chimneys 2 \$18,620.00 - Roofs 3 \$479,812.00 -	D. Electrical Systems	s	3	\$440,22	2.52 -				a different Rer	novate/Replace ratio,			
G. Structure: Foundation 3 \$75,000.00 - H. Structure: Walls and 2 \$122,785.00 - Chimneys I. Structure: Floors and 2 \$18,620.00 - Roofs J. General Finishes 3 \$479,812.00 -		tures	3	\$189,90	2.50 -	which is representative of the Building without the o	demolished add	litions.]					
☑ H. Structure: Walls and Chimneys 2 \$122,785.00 - ☑ I. Structure: Floors and Roofs 2 \$18,620.00 - ☑ J. General Finishes 3 \$479,812.00 -				\$124,53	5.10 -								
Chimneys □ I. Structure: Floors and Roofs 2 \$18,620.00 - □ J. General Finishes 3 \$479,812.00 -			_		_								
Roofs		ınd	2	\$122,78	5.00 -								
		and	2	\$18,62	0.00 -								
K Interior Lighting 3 \$135,600,00	J. General Finishes		3	\$479,81	2.00 -								
	K. Interior Lighting		3	\$135,62	0.00 -								
L. Security Systems 3 \$77,303.40 -	L. Security Systems		3	\$77,30	3.40 -								
M. Emergency/Egress 3 \$27,124.00 - Lighting		SS	3	\$27,12	4.00 -								
☑ N. Fire Alarm 3 \$40,686.00 -	🛅 N. Fire Alarm		3	\$40,68	6.00 -								
O. Handicapped Access 3 \$503,124.80 -	O. Handicapped Acc	ess	3		-								
P. Site Condition 3 \$253,623.94 -	P. Site Condition		3	\$253,62	3.94 -								
(i) Q. Sewage System 3 \$59,525.00 -	C. Sewage System		3	\$59,52	5.00 -								
☑ R. Water Supply 1 \$0.00 -	R. Water Supply		1	\$	0.00 -	<u>·</u>							
S. Exterior Doors 3 \$26,000.00 -	S. Exterior Doors		_										
T. Hazardous Material 3 \$329,337.40 -		ial			_								
U. Life Safety 3 \$466,796.80 -			_		_								
V. Loose Furnishings 3 \$79,545.00 -		S	_										
	erroller et la		3	\$349,46	7.70 -								
X. Construction Contingency - \$1,166,764.14 - Non-Construction Cost													
Total \$5,942,653.68	- X. Construction Conf			\$1,166,76	4.14 -								

Addition	Auditorium Fixed Seating	Corridors	Agricultural Education Lab	Primary Gymnasium	Media Center	Vocational Space	Student Dining	Kitchen	Natatorium	Indoor Tracks	Adult Education	Board Offices	Outside Agencies	Auxiliary Gymnasium
Original Building (1929)		703		1317										
Original Building Gymnasium Balcony (1929)		609												
Administration/ Classroom Addition (1956)		988			797			431						
Classroom Wing Addition (1965)		2078												
Total	0	4,378	0	1,317	797	0	0	431	0	0	0	0	0	0

Master Planning Considerations

	Return To MasterPlan										
Spec	cific Allowanc	es									
	Building	Category	Name	Amount	Comments	Cost Column					
	[New] New	Site	Site Access Safety	\$300,000.0	00 Allowance for Site Access Safety Improvement in the amount of	Base CM & A/E					
	9-12	Development	Allowance		\$300,000.	Services					
Tota	ıl			\$300,000.0	00						
				Re	eturn To MasterPlan						

Norton City	SCHOOL DISTRICT
Summit	COUNTY
12/11/13	DATE

Norton City SD (Summit) - CFAP - Segment 1 - OSFC 01-23-14 CB 02-24-14 MASTER PLAN

Description of Master Plan:

• Build One (1) New High School to house grades 9-12 and CT

Allowance to Abate/Demolish Cornerstone Elementary and Grill Elementary

CFAP Participant (Segmenting)

Step 1. Assessed Valuation			\$	281,527,660
Step 2. Net Bonded Indebtedness			\$	<u> </u>
Step 3. Cost of Entire Master Facilities Plan		\$	82,511,345	
Step 4. Required level of indebtedness			5.96%	
.05 + [.0002 x (49 percentile** - 1)] of assessed valuation*			\$	16,779,049
Step 5. To increase the district's net bonded indebt within \$5,000 of the required level of indebt the district would need additional bond deb	edness,			
	Worth of Local Share			
Step 4:	\$	16,779,049		
minus Step 2:	\$	-		
Total:	\$	16,779,049		
Step 6. Required percentage of the project costs			-	49.00% **
equals (.01 x basic project costs) x 2 49 pe	ercentile**		\$	40,430,559
a				
Step 7. Amount of Bond issue or Alternative Fundi				40,400,770
a. a required percentage of the project	costs		\$	40,430,559
b. the amount necessary to raise the net bor	adad			
indebtedness of the district to within \$5.0				
of the required level of indebtedness	,		\$	16,779,049
or the required forer or maconcanego			Ψ	10,770,010
c. Therefore, the district's share of the entire	re MFP would be for		\$	40,430,559
STATE \$		42,080,786		51%
LOCAL \$		40,430,559		49% not including required LFI
TOTAL \$		82,511,345		
Step 8. Minimum Local Share Calculation	Assessed Valuation		Minim	um Local Share
Assessed Valuation x 2.0%	Assessed varuation	281,527,660	\$	5,630,553
Assessed valuation x 2.070		201,027,000	Ψ	3,030,333
	proposed segment size		local sha	are of proposed segment
	r .r	32,068,261		15,713,448
Is proposed segment > minimum project size?				yes
m	111			
Therefore, the budget for the proposed segment	would be:	10.054.019		E10/
STATE \$		16,354,813		51%
LOCAL \$ TOTAL \$		15,713,448 32,068,261		49%
TOTAL \$	-	52,000,201		

^{*}District's valuation for the year preceding the year in which the Controlling Board approved the project under 3318.04 of the O.R.C.

^{**}Percentile in which the district ranks. (By law, the minimum State share is 5%; therefore, all districts in the 95-100 percentile are shown as 95%).